## Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

| Request for Scrutiny  |  |                 |  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Councillor L Way (via a motion at Council) and Service Manager – Economic Growth and Property |  |                 |  |
| Proposed topic of scrutiny  | Protection and enhancement of hedges   |                 |  |
| I would like to understand<br>(key lines of enquiry)  | In support of the Councils strategic aim to protect hedges within the Borough and to increase our hedgerow network by 40% by 2050, Scrutiny is asked to: |                 |  |
|   | pro  | otectio<br>e of | the legal and policy framework for the n and enhancement of hedges including planning conditions and consider a logy for recording new and lost hedges |
|   | ad <sup>v</sup><br>he  | vice fo         | ways to further promote best practice or the management and maintenance of ws in our own operations and with the artners, and landowners.              |
| I think this topic should be  | F  | Poor F          | Performance Identified   |
| scrutinised because   | Change in Legislation or Local Policy ✓ Resident Concern or Interest Cabinet Recommendation  |                 |  |
|   |  |                 | ent Concern or Interest  |
| (please tick)   |  |                 |  |
|   |  |                 | to the Corporate Strategy  |
|   |  |                 | (please state reason) at Council included investigation by   |
|   |  | scrutin         | <del>_</del>   |
| Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny                                      |  |                 |  |
| Officer Feedback (please tick)  |  |                 | Officer Comment  |
| - Issue already being addressed   |  | ×               |  |
| <ul> <li>Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years?</li> </ul>                    |  | *               |  |
| - Issue is a legal matter   |  | ×               |  |
| - Issue of a complaint investigation  |  | ×               |  |
| - Issue is a staffing matter  |  | ×               |  |
| <ul> <li>There is an alternative way of<br/>dealing with the issue</li> </ul>                 |  | ×               |  |

| Is there sufficient capacity                       |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| - Scrutiny Work Programme?                         | ✓  |  |
| - Officer Resources?                               | ✓  |  |
| Recommendation                                     | Schedule for scrutiny                                |  |
| Lead Officer                                       | Catherine Evans                                      |  |
| Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group | Growth and Development Scrutiny Group – January 2023 |  |



## Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

| Request for Scrutiny                                     |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Service Manager – Economic Growth and Property           |  |   |  |
| Proposed topic of scrutiny                               | An update on the Fairham development   |   |  |
| I would like to understand (key lines of enquiry)        | <ul> <li>Fairham is one of the strategic sites identified for housing and employment development in the Borough. It is important members understand how this is progressing: <ul> <li>Site project/programme update</li> <li>What are the design standards and how are these being maintained?</li> <li>Plans for neighbourhood centre including health centre and school</li> <li>Proposals for public transport and how this will link to existing networks</li> <li>Landscaping and green space on site – what is planned and how will it be maintained</li> <li>Impact on bio diversity and how this will be mitigated</li> <li>Sustainability measures being installed on new homes</li> <li>Interest in employment land and ambitions for this area of the site – industry and job types.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |   |  |
| I think this topic should be                             | Poor Performance Identified  |   |  |
| scrutinised because                                      |  |   | e in Legislation or Local Policy                   |
| (please tick)  | <b>√</b>   |   | ent Concern or Interest<br>et Recommendation       |
| (piease tick)  | <b>✓</b>   |   |  |
|  | 1  |   | to the Corporate Strategy<br>(please state reason) |
| Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny |  |   |  |
| Officer Feedback (please tick)                           |  | ✓ | Officer Comment                                    |
| - Issue already being addressed                          |  | × |  |
| - Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years? |  | × |  |
| - Issue is a legal matter                                |  | × |  |
| - Issue of a complaint investigation                     |  | × |  |

| - Issue is a staffing matter                          | ×  |                                       |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue | ×  |                                       |
| Is there sufficient capacity                          |  |                                       |
| - Scrutiny Work Programme?                            |  | Growth and Development Scrutiny Group |
| - Officer Resources?                                  |  | Emily Dodd and Richard Mapletoft      |
| Recommendation  | Sche   | edule for scrutiny                    |
| Lead Officer  | Catherine Evans                                    |                                       |
| Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group    | Growth and Development Scrutiny Group – March 2023 |                                       |



## Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

| Request for Scrutiny                                     |   |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Service Manager – Economic Growth and Property           |   |  |   |  |
| Proposed topic of scrutiny                               | A review of Rushcliffe Oaks Crematorium |  |   |  |
| I would like to understand (key lines of enquiry)        | Borou                                   | ugh w<br>rtant of<br>plans<br>Wha<br>Any<br>How<br>daily<br>Wha<br>issu<br>Wha | Oaks is a new facility being built in the hich will be owned and operated by RBC. It is councillors understand how this is operating, and any risks/issues: at has worked well? areas for development? It is income against forecast? It many services are being done on a pulyweekly basis? That is planned to increase/improve this if an ee? The at community engagement has been done or anned? |  |
| I think this topic should be                             | P                                       | oor F  | Performance Identified  |  |
| scrutinised because                                      |   | _  | e in Legislation or Local Policy  |  |
|  | Resident Concern or Interest            |  |   |  |
| (please tick)  |   |  | et Recommendation   |  |
|  | ✓ <b>C</b> T                            | otally<br>Counci   | (please state reason) new area of Council – scrutiny increases illor understanding and provides independent nstructive challenge  |  |
| Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny |   |  |   |  |
| Officer Feedback (please tick)                           |   | ✓  | Officer Comment   |  |
| - Issue already being address                            | ed                                      | *  |   |  |
| - Issue has already been considered in the last 2 year   | rs?                                     | ×  |   |  |
| - Issue is a legal matter                                |   | ×  |   |  |
| - Issue of a complaint investigation                     |   | ×  |   |  |
| - Issue is a staffing matter                             |   | ×  |   |  |
| - There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue  | of                                      | ×  |   |  |

| Is there sufficient capacity                       | Yes   |  |
|--|---|--|
| - Scrutiny Work Programme?                         | Growth and Development Scrutiny Group             |  |
| - Officer Resources?                               | Catherine Evans and the Crematorium Team          |  |
| Recommendation                                     | Schedule for scrutiny                             |  |
| Lead Officer                                       | Catherine Evans                                   |  |
| Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group | Growth and Development Scrutiny Group – July 2023 |  |

